## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P02/137-wgjl	FOR FURTHER ACTION Providence of the providence		cation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/EP2003/008395	30 July 2003 (	30.07.2003)	26 August 2002 (26.08.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) C09K 13/08, 15/00	or national classification and	IPC	·	
Applicant	MERCK PATI	ENT GMBH		
and is transmitted to the applica	nt according to Article 36.		national Preliminary Examining Authority	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.				
amended and are the bas	npanied by ANNEXES, i.e., s is for this report and/or sheet f the Administrative Instructi	s containing rectifications	ion, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule	
These annexes consist of	f a total ofs	heets.		
3. This report contains indications	relating to the following iter	ns:		
I Basis of the report				
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Lack of unity o	IV Lack of unity of invention			
	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report	
		•		
27 February 2004 (27.02.2004)		2	1 June 2004 (21.06.2004)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer		
Racsimile No.		Telephone No.		

Translation

## I. Basis of the report

The basis of international preliminary examination report is the application as originally filed.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).